

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB &
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No 9838 of 2019

MEMO OF PARTIES

NISA Education having registered office at A-24-D, Ground Floor, Hauz Khas, New Delhi through its President Sh. Kulbhushan Sharma son of Sh. J.P. Sharma, age 53 years, resident of House No. 52-53, Vidhya Nagar, Nanhera, P.O. Kuldeep Nagar, Ambala Cantt., Haryana.

Petitioner

VERSUS

1. The State of Haryana through its Additional Chief Secretary (Schools), Government of Haryana, Haryana Civil Secretariat, 7th Floor, Sector 1, Chandigarh.
2. The Director Elementary Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula, Haryana.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula, Haryana.

Respondents

Petitioner through Counsel

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 04.01.2019

(PANKAJ MAINI)
Advocate
P-1390/2001
Counsel for the Petitioner

Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance any writ, orders or directions especially in the nature of mandamus by issuing the directions to the respondent to immediately release the payment to the member school of the petitioner association the money outstanding since the academic session 2015-16 under the head rule 134A for which the claim has already been submitted on 19.09.2018 (**Annexure P-11 Colly**), which has not been paid by the respondents till date in spite of the orders passed in CWP No.4925 dated 01.04.2015 (**Annexure P-6**); a writ of mandamus may kindly be issued to the respondents to immediately release the payment to the member school of the petitioner association in respect of the students allotted to them under rule 134-A since academic session 2015-16 onwards as per section 12 sub clause 2 of RTE Act as upheld in CWP No.4925 of

2014 dated 01.04.2015

(Annexure P-6); a writ of prohibition may kindly be issued to the respondents not to harp upon the continuation of the 10% of the student allotted to the members school of the petitioner association under rule 134-A from the next academic session 2019-20; a writ of mandamus may kindly be issued to the respondents to allow the member school of the petitioner association to not to give the admissions to the students allotted under rule 134-A to them to grant the admission to those student in next classes from the academic session 2019-20 until and unless the whole of the outstanding amount be released to them by the respondents before 31.03.2018 the date of the closure of the present academic session from 2015-16 onwards.

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. That the members of the petitioner society are the citizens of India. The authorized signatory who is filing the present

petition before this Hon'ble court is the citizen of India and the resident of the State of Haryana thus, fully competent to invoke the extraordinary writ jurisdiction of this Hon'ble court by way of filing the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India to get the rights of their member schools be protected which are being infringed by the respondents continuously without discharging their duties towards the pillar of the society.

2. That the petitioner society has been registered in the name of NISA Education on 26.10.2015 at New Delhi and the Registrar of Societies, South District, Government of NCT of Delhi has issued the certificate of registration under his seal and signature on 26.10.2015 by giving the legal sanctity to the society. Copy of the certificate dated 26.10.2015 is appended herewith as **Annexure P-1**.
3. That the society was registered having the aims and objectives to rake up the issues of the private schools so that the member schools of the society could carry out their functions without any problem apart from other aims and objectives for which the society was established. The copy of the memorandum of association of society is appended herewith as **Annexure P-2**.
4. That the list of the member schools situated in the State of Haryana is also enclosed with this writ petition and the society is having more than 1642 schools situated in the

State of Haryana as its members. Copy of the list of the member schools are appended herewith as **Annexure P-3**.

5. That the petitioner society is filing the present writ petition on behalf of few of its member schools regarding the reimbursement of the students to whom they are providing the education under Rule 134-A in order to discharge its legal and statutory liabilities which the members schools are facing the difficulty to get the reimbursement from the respondents which are effecting their financial health. The list of the member schools are appended herewith as **Annexure P-4** on the basis of whom the present writ petition is being filed before this Hon'ble court.

6. That the State of Haryana has framed the regulation under Haryana Education Code and Rules which were amended from time to time regarding the opportunities to be provided to the students of Economical Weaker Section (EWS) to get the admissions in a reputed private un-aided school so that they could also get the parity with the other students so that they could also able to compete for jobs and courses for better their better future. The relevant provisions of Rule 134-A are reproduced below for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble court:

“134. No recognised school shall refuse admission to any handicapped child upto 3% of the total seats available/filled in that school.

134A. The recognized private schools shall reserve 25% seats for meritorious poor students. The school shall charge fee from these students at the rate as charged in Government schools. The deficit of difference of fee shall be charged from the other students of the school.”

Then the aforesaid rules were again amended by the State of Haryana in the year 2013 which are also reproduced below for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble court:

“134. Reservation for meritorius students belonging to the Economically Weaker Section. Section 24(2) and Section 15 recognized private schools, shall reserve 10% seats for meritorius students belong to economically weaker section and BPL (Below Poverty Line) category. The school shall charge fee from these students at the same rate as charged in Government schools.”

Copies of the relevant provisions of Rule 134-A since 2003 to 2013 as amended from time to time are appended herewith as **Annexure P-5 (Colly)**.

7. That various associations have approached this Hon'ble court by way of filing the CWP No. 4925 of 2014 and others which this Hon'ble court with single order on dated 01.04.2015 have decided those writ petitions by upholding the sanctity of Rule 134-A and the comprehensive judgment passed by this Hon'ble court became the megnacata for the schools, as well as, the students who

are getting the benefit under Rule 134-A, as well as, the Government of Haryana for granting the reimbursement to the schools who are imparting the education to the students under Rule 134-A. The Hon'ble court has disposed of the writ petitions by giving the following directions:

Accordingly, these petitions are disposed of by holding and directing as under:

- (i). Rule 134A is legal and valid. However in respect of admissions to classes I to VIII in the private unaided schools pursuant to Rule 134A, where the private unaided schools do not charge any fee or charges or expenses and provide completely free education to the children admitted in terms of Rule 134A, the schools would be entitled to reimbursement on the same principles as provided for in Section 12(2) of the 2009 Act.*
- (ii). In respect of admissions to classes IX to XII in private unaided schools as per Rule 134A , the schools are entitled to charge fee from the students at the same rate as charged in Government schools. Though this may not be comparable to the fee otherwise charged by the private schools, yet it cannot be held to be a case of compulsion to impart free education without any recompense and it would thus be valid and legal in terms of the ratio in Pramati Trust's case (supra).*

- (iii). *In so far as admission to Class I is concerned, there is inconsistency between Section 12(1) (c) and Rules 134A. Thus for admission to class I in private unaided educational institutions, Rule 134A has to make way for Section 12(1)(c) and (2) of the 2009 Act. Accordingly, it is directed that reservation and admissions to Class I and pre- school education wherever provided, in private unaided schools is to be made as per provisions of Section 12(1) (c) and 12(2) of the 2009 Act and not in accordance with Rule 134A.*
- (iv). *In respect of admission in private unaided schools to classes II to XII , the field is not covered by the 2009 Act, so Rule 134 A will have full play and effect and admissions would be required to be made in accordance therewith as explained above.*
- (v). *The argument of the State that it has no obligation under Section 12(2) of 2009 Act to provide reimbursement to the private unaided schools for admitting children belonging to weaker sections and disadvantaged groups as per Section 12(1)(c), unless they are declared neighbourhood schools is without merit and is rejected.*
- (vi). *It is held that on the coming into force of the 2009 Act, the private unaided schools as defined in Section 2(n)(iv) have a mandatory obligation to admit in class I and and in pre- school education where such pre- school education is imparted, to the*

extent of 25% of the strength of the class, children belonging to weaker sections and disadvantaged groups in the neighbourhood and provide free and compulsory elementary education to them till its completion and the State is required to reimburse the expenditure as per the provisions of Section 12(2).

(vii). The private unaided schools cannot be permitted to deny admission to the children in terms of Section 12(1)(c) and Rule 134A on the ground that reimbursement has not been made by the government or that any previous reimbursement claims are pending. The schools are obliged to grant admission to the children in terms of the above provisions irrespective of the pendency of any previous claim for reimbursement. Where reimbursement has not been made the schools would have a right to maintain action for recovery of the amount of reimbursement.

(viii). The State and its functionaries have a duty to ensure that the provisions of the 2009 Act and the Rule 134A are implemented. The State and its functionaries are duty bound to initiate necessary action in terms of the law against any private unaided school which does not comply with the mandate of the 2009 Act and Rule 134A .

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL) JUDGE

April 01, 2015

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU) JUDGE

Copy of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in CWP No. 4925 of 2014 and others is appended herewith as **Annexure P-6**.

8. That after awaiting so many months together to get the reimbursement of their claims the schools were constrained to file two contempt petitions vide COCP No. 883 of 2016 and COCP No. 1005 of 2016. Initially on 30.11.2016, the Hon'ble bench was passed the following orders in COCP No. 883 of 2016:

COCP No.883 of 2016

Association of CBSE Affiliate Schools

Vs.

Smt. Keshni Anand Arora and others

*Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.*

While referring to para 19 of the affidavit dated 26.9.2016, counsel for the respondents has submitted that an amount of `6,82,58,400/- has been earmarked for reimbursement of fee to the private recognised schools for granting admission to the Economically Weaker Section/ Below Poverty Line students. It is further submitted that the petitioner may apply to the concerned department for reimbursement.

Adjourned to 18.1.2017.

In the meantime, the petitioner may apply to the concerned department for the reimbursement of the fee. If any such application is made, the same shall be decided

within 15 days. In case any such application is filed in terms of the decision of this Court rendered in the case of Haryana Progressive Schools' Conference (Regd.) – CWP No.4925 of 2014, then the same shall be considered within a period of 15 days from the date of applying.

30.11.2016

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN) JUDGE

9. That the respondents have filed the reply in COCP No. 883 of 2016 in CWP No. 4925 of 2014 on 26.09.2016 and 18.10.2016. The respondent no. 2 has filed the comprehensive reply in which they have stated that for the year 2015-2016 the State has made the provision of Rs. 6,82,58,400/- for reimbursement of school fee under Rule 134-A. That has not been paid to the schools till date and since the academic session of 2015-2016 till 2018-2019, the schools are awaiting the release of the outstanding dues to them which are piling day by day. The stand taken by the respondents in para 18 & 19 of their reply are reproduced below for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble court:

“18. That the answering respondent again submits that the schedule for admission to the children/students belonging to EWS/BPL and disadvantaged groups for class 1st under RTE Act, 2009 and the schedule for admission in class 2nd to 12th under Rule 134-A for the current academic session i.e. 2016-17 has been issued to all the District Education Officers/District Elementary Education Officers has already been issued vide order dated 10.03.2016 and 14.03.2016 respectively. The process of admission under Rule 134-A

and RTE Act, 2009 has been completed but the reports from the field offices are still awaited.

19. *That with regard to reimbursement of fee to the private recognized schools for granting admission to the EWS/BPL students, it is submitted that while acceding the demand of the private school association, the Govt. has sanctioned an amount of Rs. 6,82,58,400/- (Rs. Six Crore Eighty Two Lac Fifty Eight Thousand Four Hundred Only) for reimbursement of school fee under Rule 134-A for the year 2015-16 at the following rates:*

Class	Amount/fee (per month, per child)
<i>i. IInd to Vth</i>	<i>Rs. 200/- for Rural Areas Rs. 300/- for Urban Areas</i>
<i>ii. VIth to VIIIth</i>	<i>Rs. 300/- for Rural Areas Rs. 400/- for Urban Areas</i>

It is also to pertinent to mention here that if any claim of reimbursement for previous session is received in the Department the same will be decided in accordance with the aforesaid slab of fee fixed by the government.”

Copies of the reply filed by the respondent no. 2 & 3 on 26.09.2016 and 18.10.2016 in COCP No. 883 of 2016 are appended herewith as **Annexure P-7 (Colly)**.

10. That the Hon'ble court was pleased to dispose of all the contempt petitions including COCP No. 883 of 2016 and COCP No. 1005 of 2016 alongwith other contempt petitions vide orders dated 15.11.2017. The orders passed by the

Hon'ble bench on 15.11.2017 are reproduced below for the kind perusal of this Hon'ble court:

COCP No.883 of 2016

Association of CBSE Affiliate School, PalwalPetitioner

Versus

Keshni Anand Arora and others ...Respondents

Date of decision: 15.11.2017

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA
CHAUDHARY**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate for the petitioners in COCP Nos.883 & 1005 of 2016, for respondent No.25 in COCP No.1463 of 2016 and respondent No.8 in COCP No.2277 of 2016.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

This order of mine shall dispose of all the aforementioned five contempt petitions as the same have been filed for non-compliance of order dated 01.04.2015 passed in CWP No.4925 of 2014 and other connected writ petitions.

Learned State counsel submits that the compliance of the guidelines are being made strictly in view of the spirit of the order and a schedule is being prepared every year. No complaint has been received so far. In case any complaint is received, the same will be dealt with according to the direction issued by this Court.

No such complaint has been brought to the notice of this Court by learned counsel for the petitioners. In case of

non-compliance of the order by the respondents, any aggrieved person is at liberty to move application for revival of the contempt petition.

One more issue has also been raised stating that no reimbursement has been done of the expenses by the private unaided school, but no specific example in this regard has been brought to the notice of the Court. In case any such grievance is there, the person aggrieved is at liberty to approach the respondent-State. In case any application is moved or any representation is pending, the respondent-authority is directed to take action in accordance with law within some reasonable time, after giving opportunity of hearing to the interested party and, thereafter, pass a detailed speaking order. In case the relief sought by the party is found to be genuine, the same be released within a period of two months

The contempt petitions are disposed of accordingly.

15.11.2017

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

The respondents have taken no action till date which forced the association of CBSE Affiliation Schools, Palwal to have filed the COCP No. 883 of 2015 have again preferred to approach this Hon'ble court by way of filing the COCP No. 1323 of 2018 which is pending for 02.04.2019.

11. That on 23.06.2015, one of the school of the association of CBSE Affiliated School, Palwal has made a representation to the worthy Chief Minister, Haryana against the misuse of

the facility granted under Rule 134-A for meritorious student belonging to EWS/BPL Sections of the society. In that representation the concerned person of the school has raised serious objections in respect of Rule 134-A. Copy of the representation dated 23.06.2015 is appended herewith as **Annexure P-8**.

12. That the Government of Haryana, School Education Department has issued the notification on 03.06.2011 in respect of Haryana Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Rules, 2011 in which the categories were mentioned who are eligible for getting the benefit of free education. Copy of the notification dated 03.06.2011 is appended herewith as **Annexure P-9**.

13. That one of the member school has made a representation to the respondents on 19.09.2018 regarding the reimbursement of the money as they are providing the education to the children since 2015-15 onwards and not a till date reimbursement has been made to the school and school has demanded the money which they are charging from the other students. Copy of the representation dated 19.09.2018 is appended herewith as **Annexure P-10**.

14. That the other member schools of the petitioner association on whose behalf the petitioner has approached this Hon'ble court have submitted the data with the respondents on 19.09.2018 showing the students studying with them and the amount outstanding towards them

which they are entitled to receive from the respondents. Copies of the data showing the amount recoverable from the respondents as well as the students studying in their school as allotted by the respondents from the year 2015-2016 to 2018-2019 dated 19.09.2018 are appended herewith as **Annexure P-11 Colly.**

15. That the respondents have not paid a single penny to the member school of the petitioner association could be perused from their correspondence since 20.04.2017 to 05.09.2017. The respondents have admitted that as per the orders in COCP No.883 of 2016 they have made the provision of Rs. 6,52,800/- vide letter dated 09.03.2017, but the treasury officer Ratia has not cleared the bill and the amount could not be paid. The schools are demanding the money for the year 2015-16. The concerned official of the respondents have requested for provision of the budget so that the amount could be cleared to the concerned schools. Copy of the letter dated 20.04.2017, 05.09.2017 and the cancellation of the bills by the treasury Ratia dated 08.03.2017 are appended herewith as **Annexure P-12 Colly.**

16. That petitioner association is praying for the grant of the permission to the member school of the petitioner association to not to admit the students which are studying in their schools under rule 134A Category from the next academic session 2019-20 as the respondents have failed

to clear their outstanding dues accumulated to lacs of rupees for each member school as per the proviso of section 12(2) of RTE Act. The respondents are failed to discharge their statutory liability fastened upon them by this Hon'ble Court vide orders dated 01.04.2015 in CWP No.4925 of 2014 appended as Annexure P-6, thus the members schools be also discharged their liability till the respondents failed to fulfil their commitments towards the school as the non payment of the money is creating the issue in respect of the financial health of the school as the schools failed to create extra facility for the students as the respondents failed to pay the money which they ought to pay to the members school regularly since 2015-16 till the current academic session 2018-19. The respondents have not paid a single penny to the members school of the petitioner association without any justifiable reason.

17. That the following questions of law have been enumerated in the present writ petition for the kind perusal and adjudication by this Hon'ble court:

i. Whether the petitioner association is entitled to get the relief for its member schools to get the money be released to them as according to section 12 sub clause 2 of RTE Act from 2015-16 onwards in terms of the order dated 01.04.2015 Annexure P-6 before the closing of this academic session i.e. 31.03.2019 or the member schools are entitled to not to admit those

students from next academic session as allotted to them under rule 134A by the respondents?

ii. Whether the respondents could force the member schools to impart the students allotted to them under rule 134A without the money being repaid to them by the respondents in violation of the judgment passed by this Hon'ble Court on 01.04.2015 (**Annexure P-6**)?

18. That the petitioner association has left with no other efficacious remedy except to approach this Hon'ble court by way of filing the present writ petition to get the relief sought by them.

19. That no such or similar petition has been filed by the petitioner association before this Hon'ble court or before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India on the same cause of action.

PRAYER CLAUSE

i. It is, therefore, respectfully praying for the issuance any writ, orders or directions especially in the nature of mandamus by issuing the directions to the respondent to immediately release the payment to the member school of the petitioner association the money outstanding since the academic session 2015-16 under the head rule 134A for which the claim has already been submitted on 19.09.2018 (**Annexure P-11 Colly**), which has not been paid by the respondents till date in spite of the orders passed in CWP No.4925 dated 01.04.2015 (**Annexure P-6**);

- ii. a writ of mandamus may kindly be issued to the respondents to immediately release the payment to the member school of the petitioner association in respect of the students allotted to them under rule 134-A since academic session 2015-16 onwards as per section 12 sub clause 2 of RTE Act as upheld in CWP No.4925 of 2014 dated 01.04.2015 (**Annexure P-6**);
- iii. a writ of prohibition may kindly be issued to the respondents not to harp upon the continuation of the 10% of the student allotted to the members school of the petitioner association under rule 134-A from the next academic session 2019-20;
- iv. a writ of mandamus may kindly be issued to the respondents to allow the member school of the petitioner association to not to give the admissions to the students allotted under rule 134-A to them to grant the admission to those student in next classes from the academic session 2019-20 until and unless the whole of the outstanding amount be released to them by the respondents before 31.03.2018 the date of the closure of the present academic session from 2015-16 onwards in the interest of justice.
- v. The petitioner may kindly be granted the exemption from serving the advance notice upon the respondents before filing the present writ petition before this Hon'ble Court.
- vi. The petitioner association may kindly be granted exemption from filing the certified copies of the Annexure

P-1 to **Annexure P-12 Colly**, without appending the certified copies of the aforesaid annexure, as well as the permission be also granted to append the photocopies of the Annexure P-1 to **Annexure P-12 Colly** alongwith this petition.

Petitioner through Counsel

Place: Chandigarh

Dated: 04.01.2019

(PANKAJ MAINI)

Advocate

P-1390/2001

Counsel for the Petitioner

VERIFICATION:

Verified that all the contents mentioned in the writ petition are true and correct to the best of the knowledge of the petitioner and nothing have been concealed from this Hon'ble Court. In para no 1 to 16, 18 and 19 are true to the best of knowledge of the petitioner and question of law has been mentioned in para no. 17 of the writ petition.

Place: Chandigarh

Dated: 04.01.2019

PETITIONER

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB &
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No 9838 of 2019

NISA Education

Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Haryana & Ors

Respondents

Affidavit of Kulbhushan Sharma son of Sh. J.P. Sharma, age 53 years, resident of House No. 52-53, Vidhya Nagar, Nanhera, P.O. Kuldeep Nagar, Ambala Cantt. President of NISA Education having registered office at A-24-D, Ground Floor, Hauz Khas, New Delhi, do hereby solemnly affirms and declare as under:-

1. That the deponent being petitioner is fully conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case.
2. That on the request of the deponent, his counsel has prepared the writ petition on the basis of information and record provided by him.
3. That the deponent has gone through the contents of the writ petition which are true and correct to his knowledge.
4. That the contents of the writ petition may kindly be treated as part and parcel of this affidavit.

Place: Chandigarh

Dated: 04.01.2019

DEPONENT

VERIFICATION:-

Verified that the contents of my aforesaid affidavit mentioned in paragraphs 1 to 4 are true and correct to my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing relevant has been kept concealed therein from this Hon'ble Court.

Place: Chandigarh

Dated: 04.01.2019

DEPONENT

NISA EDUCATION

Regd. office at A-24-D, Ground Floor, Hauz Khas, New Delhi

Ref. No

Dated: 07.12.2018

RESOLUTION

The meeting of the association has been convened on 07.12.2018 in the present of the most of the members of the association, in which the agenda regarding the filing of the case before the Hon'ble Punjab and High Court was considered in respect of challenging the notifications/circulars issued by the Ministry of H.R.D. and the D.E.O., Chandigarh prescribing the weight of the bags of the students, as well as, the NCERT books only. The members have authorized, the President of NISA, Sh. Kulbhushan Sharma, to take all necessary steps regarding the filing of the case as well as he has been authorised to append his signature on the relevant document in respect of the case on behalf of the association.

SECRETARY

PRESIDENT

TREASURER

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB &
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No 9838 of 2019

NISA Education

Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Haryana & Ors

Respondents

INDEX

Sr. No.	Particulars	Dated	Page No.	Court Fees
1.	List of Dates and Events	04.01.2019	1-4	0.00/-
2.	Civil Writ Petition	04.01.2019	5-38	50.00/-
3.	Affidavit in Support	04.01.2019	39	0.00/-
4.	<u>Annexure P-1</u> Society registration Certificate	26.10.2015	40	0.65/-
5.	<u>Annexure P-2</u> Memorandum of Association	----	41-58	11.70/-
6.	<u>Annexure P-3</u> List of Member Schools	----	59-93	30.00/-
7.	<u>Annexure P-4</u> Notification/Orders	18.09.2018	94	0.65/-
8.	<u>Annexure P-5</u> Notification/Orders	20.11.2018	95	0.65/-
9.	<u>Annexure P-6</u> CBSE Affiliation Bye-Laws	28.01.1988	96-107	7.15/-
10.	<u>Annexure P-7</u> National Curriculum Frame Work	2005	108-147	26.00/-
11.	<u>Annexure P-8</u> CBSE Circular	06.02.2014	148-156	5.85/-
12.	<u>Annexure P-9</u> Hon'ble Madras High Court Orders	16.08.2017	157-162	3.90/-

13.	<u>Annexure P-10</u> Hon'ble Madras High Court Orders	29.05.2018	163-172	6.50/-
14.	<u>Annexure P-11</u> Amended CBSE Bye-Laws	18.10.2018	173-183	7.15/-
15.	Resolution	07.12.2018	184	0.00/-
16.	Power of Attorney	04.01.2019	185	2.65/-
TOTAL				155.00

NOTE:

1. The main law points enumerated in the present writ petition are at page No. __ to __ in para No __ thereto.
2. Whether any Caveat petition has been filed or not: **-Nil-**
3. Similar case, if any: NIL
4. Violations of the provisions of:-
 - i. Article 14 & 16 of Constitution of India.

Petitioner through Counsel

Place: Chandigarh
Dated: 04.01.2019

(PANKAJ MAINI)
Advocate
P-1390/2001
Counsel for the Petitioner

**LIST OF MEMBER SCHOOLS WHO HAVE NOT GOT THE
REIMBURSEMENT UNDER RULE 134-A:**

Sr. No.	Name of School and Address
1.	P.L. Jindal Convent School, Near Tehsil Complex, Fatehabad Road, Ratia.
2.	The Alpine Top School, Ratia-Budhlada Road, 5Km. Mile Stone, Rattangarh, Ratia.
3.	Holy Wisdom Convent School, VPO Lahli, Tehsil Ratia, District Fatehabad, Haryana.
4.	G.D. Jyoti M. School, Tohana Road, Ratia.
5.	Ram Rattan Public School, Village Nanheri, Tehsil Toahana, Distt. Fatehabad.
6.	Jyoti Public School, VPO Lahli, Ratia.
7.	Bharti Niketan High School, Main Bazar, Ratia.

TRUE COPY

ADVOCATE